



# Cour d'appel fédérale

Date: 20170404

**Docket: A-152-16** 

**Citation: 2017 FCA 70** 

[ENGLISH TRANSLATION]

**CORAM:** GAUTHIER J.A.

**BOIVIN J.A.** 

**DE MONTIGNY J.A.** 

**BETWEEN:** 

PASCAL VALENTI

**Applicant** 

and

#### CANADIAN UNION OF POSTAL WORKERS

Respondent

Heard at Montreal, Quebec, on April 4, 2017.

Judgment delivered from the bench at Montreal, Quebec, on April 4, 2017.

REASONS FOR JUDGMENT OF THE COURT BY:

DE MONTIGNY J.A.





## Cour d'appel fédérale

Date: 20170404

**Docket: A-152-16** 

**Citation: 2017 FCA 70** 

CORAM: GAUTHIER J.A.

**BOIVIN J.A.** 

**DE MONTIGNY J.A.** 

**BETWEEN:** 

PASCAL VALENTI

**Applicant** 

and

#### CANADIAN UNION OF POSTAL WORKERS

Respondent

#### **REASONS FOR JUDGMENT OF THE COURT**

(Delivered from the bench at Montreal, Quebec, on April 4, 2017.)

#### **DE MONTIGNY J.A.**

[1] This is an application for judicial review of the decision by the Canada Industrial Relations Board (the Board) dismissing the applicant's application for reconsideration filed under section 37 of the *Canada Labour Code*, R.S.C. 1985, c. L-2 (the Code). The only issue raised by the applicant in this application for judicial review is whether the Board breached its

Page: 2

duty of procedural fairness in refusing to hear his audio evidence, more specifically, the audio

recordings of his meetings with the respondent's representatives on March 4 and 17, 2015—as

opposed to the recordings that allegedly establish harassment on the part of the employer.

[2] At the hearing, it became apparent that the Board made its decision based on an

incomplete record. In an exchange with the Court, counsel for the respondent presented a

document entitled [TRANSLATION] "SECTION 37 – DUTY OF FAIR REPRESENTATION

COMPLAINT – CANADA LABOUR CODE" containing, among other things, excerpts from

transcripts of audio recordings of the applicant and the respondent. There is no evidence that the

Board took this document into account in its reconsideration.

[3] After reading the document and the Board's response to the request made under

section 317 of the Federal Courts Rules on June 2, 2016, the Court is of the view that the

application for judicial review must be allowed. Accordingly, the application for reconsideration

must be sent back for reconsideration by a different panel of the Board, all the members of which

will examine the file in its entirety. Without costs.

"Yves De Montigny"

J.A.

Certified true translation Janine Anderson, Revisor

## **FEDERAL COURT OF APPEAL**

### **SOLICITORS OF RECORD**

**DOCKET:** A-152-16

**STYLE OF CAUSE:** PASCAL VALENTI v.

CANADIAN UNION OF POSTAL

**WORKERS** 

PLACE OF HEARING: MONTREAL, QUEBEC

**DATE OF HEARING:** APRIL 4, 2017

**REASONS FOR JUDGMENT BY:** GAUTHIER J.A.

BOIVIN J.A.

DE MONTIGNY J.A.

**DELIVERED FROM THE BENCH BY:** DE MONTIGNY J.A.

**APPEARANCES:** 

Jérémie Martin FOR THE APPLICANT

Céline Allaire FOR THE RESPONDENT

**SOLICITORS OF RECORD:** 

Champlain Lawyers FOR THE APPLICANT

Philion, Leblanc, Beaudry, avocats s.a. FOR THE RESPONDENT