Date: 20030205

**Docket: A-529-01** 

**Neutral Citation: 2003 FCA 66** 

CORAM: DESJARDINS J.A.

LÉTOURNEAU J.A.

NADON J.A.

**BETWEEN:** 

### **PETER LAFAVE**

**Applicant** 

and

# ATTORNEY GENERAL OF CANADA

Respondent

Hearing held at Montréal, Quebec, on February 5, 2003.

Judgment delivered from the bench. at Montréal, Quebec, on February 5, 2003.

REASONS FOR JUDGMENT OF THE COURT BY:

LÉTOURNEAU J.A.

Date: 20030205

**Docket: A-529-01** 

**Neutral Citation: 2003 FCA 66** 

CORAM: DESJARDINS J.A.

LÉTOURNEAU J.A.

NADON J.A.

**BETWEEN:** 

#### PETER LAFAVE

**Applicant** 

and

# ATTORNEY GENERAL OF CANADA

Respondent

# REASONS FOR JUDGMENT OF THE COURT (Delivered from the bench at Montréal, Quebec, on February 5, 2003.)

# LÉTOURNEAU J.A.

[1] Taking into account the evidence in the file and the credibility of the applicant, we have not been convinced in this case that we should disregard the principles laid down and followed by this Court in *Attorney General of Canada v. Drouin*, A-348-96, *Attorney General of Canada v. Bernier*, A-136-96, *Viel v. Canada (Employment Insurance Commission)* (2001), 278 N.R. 40

Page: 2

(F.C.A.), application for leave to appeal to the Supreme Court of Canada dismissed October

4, 2001.

[2] Counsel for the applicant maintained before us that the amounts of income established by

the Employment Insurance Commission and attributed to the applicant were wrong, because that

income represented a greater share of the company's net profit than the applicant was entitled to,

namely, one-third. It appears from the financial statements of the company for the period in

dispute that the net profit was \$48,466. If that had been paid in the form of dividends, the

applicant's income would have been in the order of \$16,155 and not \$31,506, as the Commission

claimed.

[3] In this case, the amount of the applicant's income is determined not from the company's

net profit, but from the gross income of the company remaining after deducting the operating

expenses incurred other than capital expenditures, as provided in section 35 of the *Employment* 

Insurance Regulations:

35. (10) For the purposes of subsection (2), "income" includes

35. (10) Pour l'application du paragraphe (2), « revenu » vise

notamment :

notamment

...

(c) in the case of a claimant who is self-employed in employment other than farming, the amount of the gross income from that employment remaining after deducting the operating expenses, other than capital expenditures, incurred therein; and

qui est un travailleur indépendant exerçant un emploi non relié aux travaux agricoles, le reste du revenu brut qu'il tire de cet emploi après déduction des dépenses d'exploitation qu'il y a engagées et qui ne constituent pas des dépenses en immobilisations;

c) dans le cas d'un prestataire

. .

. . .

- [4] We are satisfied that the Commission used the legal basis provided by section 35(10)(c) of the Regulations as the basis for calculating the applicant's income.
- [5] At the hearing, counsel for the respondent filed new figures resulting from a new calculation required after the umpire set aside the penalties imposed on the applicant. These new calculations were also done on the basis of the above-mentioned Regulations.
- [6] For these reasons, the application for judicial review will be dismissed with costs.

"Gilles Létourneau"

Judge

Certified true translation

Mary Jo Egan, LLB

# FEDERAL COURT OF CANADA APPEAL DIVISION

Date: 20030205
Docket: A-529-01
Applicant
CANADA
Respondent
ENT

## FEDERAL COURT OF CANADA APPEAL DIVISION

## **SOLICITORS OF RECORD**

**DOCKET:** A-529-01

CORAM: DESJARDINS J.A.

LÉTOURNEAU J.A.

NADON J.A.

**STYLE OF CAUSE:** 

PETER LAFAVE

**Applicant** 

and

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF CANADA

Respondent

PLACE OF HEARING: Montréal, Quebec

**DATE OF HEARING:** February 5, 2003

REASONS FOR JUDGMENT OF THE COURT BY: Létourneau J.A.

**DATED:** February 5, 2003

**APPEARANCES**:

Jean-Guy Ouellet FOR THE APPLICANT

Pauline Leroux FOR THE RESPONDENT

**SOLICITORS OF RECORD:** 

Ouellet, Nadon & Associés FOR THE APPLICANT

Montréal, Quebec

Morris Rosenberg

Deputy Attorney General of Canada FOR THE RESPONDENT

Montréal, Quebec