



### Cour d'appel fédérale

Date: 20250325

**Docket: A-270-24** 

**Citation: 2025 FCA 70** 

CORAM: LASKIN J.A.

ROUSSEL J.A. PAMEL J.A.

**BETWEEN:** 

#### LAURA BIRD AND LLOYD YEW

**Appellants** 

and

# CANADA (ATTORNEY GENERAL) AND CANOE LAKE CREE FIRST NATION

Respondents

Heard at Saskatoon, Saskatchewan, on March 25, 2025. Judgment delivered from the Bench at Saskatoon, Saskatchewan, on March 25, 2025.

REASONS FOR JUDGMENT OF THE COURT BY:

ROUSSEL J.A.

## Federal Court of Appeal



### Cour d'appel fédérale

Date: 20250325

**Docket: A-270-24** 

**Citation: 2025 FCA 70** 

CORAM: LASKIN J.A.

ROUSSEL J.A. PAMEL J.A.

**BETWEEN:** 

#### LAURA BIRD AND LLOYD YEW

**Appellants** 

and

## CANADA (ATTORNEY GENERAL) AND CANOE LAKE CREE FIRST NATION

Respondents

### REASONS FOR JUDGMENT OF THE COURT

(Delivered from the Bench at Saskatoon, Saskatchewan, on March 25, 2025).

### **ROUSSEL J.A.**

[1] The appellants appeal a decision of the Federal Court (2024 FC 1204) dated July 29, 2024, dismissing their application for judicial review. The appellants challenge the Canoe Lake Cree First Nation Citizenship Law on the basis that it violates equality rights guaranteed by the *Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms*, Part I of the *Constitution Act*,

Page: 2

1982, being Schedule B to the Canada Act 1982 (UK), 1982, c. 11. On appeal, they argue,

amongst other things, that the Federal Court erred in finding that the matter could not be brought

by application for judicial review in the absence of an underlying administrative decision being

challenged.

[2] Leaving aside the fact that this issue does not appear to have been properly raised with

the parties, we agree with the appellants and the respondent Canoe Lake Cree First Nation that

the Federal Court erred in its determination of this issue. Consequently, the appeal will be

allowed, the judgment of the Federal Court will be set aside, and the matter will be returned to

the Federal Court for determination on all remaining issues. In the circumstances, there will be

no order for costs.

"Sylvie E. Roussel"
J.A.

### FEDERAL COURT OF APPEAL

### NAMES OF COUNSEL AND SOLICITORS OF RECORD

**DOCKET:** A-270-24

STYLE OF CAUSE: LAURA BIRD AND LLOYD

YEW v. CANADA (ATTORNEY GENERAL) AND CANOE LAKE

CREE FIRST NATION

PLACE OF HEARING: SASKATOON,

**SASKATCHEWAN** 

**DATE OF HEARING:** MARCH 25, 2025

REASONS FOR JUDGMENT OF THE COURT

BY:

LASKIN J.A. ROUSSEL J.A.

PAMEL J.A.

**DELIVERED FROM THE BENCH BY:** ROUSSEL J.A.

**APPEARANCES**:

Sonia Eggerman FOR THE APPELLANTS
Michael W. Marschal LAURA BIRD AND LLOYD

Brian Wirachowksy YEW

William F. Kuchapski

FOR THE RESPONDENT

Evan Morrow CANADA (ATTORNEY

GENERAL)

Evan Duffy FOR THE RESPONDENT

CANOE LAKE CREE FIRST

NATION

### **SOLICITORS OF RECORD:**

MLT Aikins LLP FOR THE APPELLANTS
Regina, Saskatchewan LAURA BIRD AND LLOYD

YEW

Shalene Curtis-Micallef FOR THE RESPONDENT
Deputy Attorney General of Canada CANADA (ATTORNEY

GENERAL)

Bailey, Wadden & Duffy LLP FOR THE RESPONDENT CANOE LAKE CREE FIRST

NATION