

Federal Court of Appeal



Cour d'appel fédérale

Date: 20141105

Docket: A-267-14

Citation: 2014 FCA 259

**CORAM: NOËL C.J.
GAUTHIER J.A.
BOIVIN J.A.**

BETWEEN:

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF CANADA

Applicant

and

ANTHONY SCHOOLCRAFT

Respondent

Heard at Montréal, Quebec, on November 5, 2014.
Judgment delivered from the Bench at Montréal, Quebec, on November 5, 2014.

REASONS FOR JUDGMENT OF THE COURT BY:

BOIVIN J.A.

Federal Court of Appeal



Cour d'appel fédérale

Date: 20141105

Docket: A-267-14

Citation: 2014 FCA 259

CORAM: NOËL C.J.
GAUTHIER J.A.
BOIVIN J.A.

BETWEEN:

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF CANADA

Applicant

and

ANTHONY SCHOOLCRAFT

Respondent

REASONS FOR JUDGMENT OF THE COURT
(Delivered from the Bench at Montréal, Quebec, on November 5, 2014).

BOIVIN J.A.

[1] Despite the forceful argument of counsel for the applicant, we have not been persuaded that the Canada Agricultural Review Tribunal committed a reviewable error.

[2] It was open to the Tribunal to conclude as it did, on the basis of the evidence on record. What we are being asked to do is to conduct a trial *de novo* and reach the opposite conclusion. This is not the role of this Court.

[3] The application for judicial review will be dismissed.

“Richard Boivin”

J.A.

FEDERAL COURT OF APPEAL

NAMES OF COUNSEL AND SOLICITORS OF RECORD

DOCKET: A-267-14

STYLE OF CAUSE: ATTORNEY GENERAL OF
CANADA v. ANTHONY
SCHOOLCRAFT

PLACE OF HEARING: Montréal, Quebec

DATE OF HEARING: NOVEMBER 5, 2014

REASONS FOR JUDGMENT OF THE COURT BY: NOËL C.J.
GAUTHIER J.A.
BOIVIN J.A.

DELIVERED FROM THE BENCH BY: BOIVIN J.A.

APPEARANCES:

Dominique Guimond

FOR THE APPLICANT
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF
CANADA

SOLICITORS OF RECORD:

William F. Pentney
Deputy Attorney General of Canada
Ottawa, Ontario

FOR THE APPLICANT
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF
CANADA