	C	itation: 2007TCC364
		2004-3973(IT)G
BETWEEN:	ROCCO GALATI,	
		Appellant,
	- and -	
	HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN,	
€.		Respondent.
	CERTIFICATION OF TRANSCRIPT (REASONS FOR JUDGMENT	OF
	ertified transcript of the Reasons for Judgment nto, Ontario on April 24, 2007, be filed.	t delivered orally from

"E.A. Bowie" Bowie J.

Signed in Ottawa, on June 27th, 2007.

Court File No. 2004-3973 (IT)G

TAX COURT OF CANADA

IN RE: the Income Tax Act

BETWEEN:

ROCCO GALATI

Appellant

- and -

HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN

Respondent

ORAL REASONS FOR ORDER DELIVERED BY
MR. JUSTICE ERIC A. BOWIE
in the Courts Administration Service,
Federal Judicial Centre, 180 Queen Street West,
Toronto, Ontario
on Tuesday, April 24, 2007 at 11:17 a .m.

APPEARANCES:

Mr. Peter Martin for the Appellant

Mr. Andre LeBlanc for the Respondent

Also Present:

Mr. Colin Nethercut Court Registrar

A.S.A.P. Reporting Services Inc. 8 2007

200 Elgin Street, Suite 1004 Ottawa, Ontario K2P 1L5 (613) 564-2727 130 King Street West, Suite 1800 Toronto, Ontario M5X 1E3 (416) 861-8720

(ii)

INDEX

	PAGE
Oral Reasons for Order	1

1	Toronto, Ontario
2	Whereupon the excerpt commences at 11:17 a.m.
3	on Tuesday, April 24, 2007.
4	THE REGISTRAR: The hearing is
5	resumed.
6	DECISION FROM THE BENCH:
7	JUSTICE BOWIE: The affidavit of
8	Mr. Berini certainly satisfies me that there has
9	been a good deal of delay in this matter. To some
LO	extent, the delay is explained but I do not think
11	that the explanation to be found in the affidavit
L2	of Lisa Moon Sami(ph) satisfactorily explains all
L3	of that delay.
L4	Having said that, the appellant
L5	has paid the tax; that does not seem to be in
L6	dispute. There is no evidence of specific
L7	prejudice to the respondent by the delay that can=t
L8	be compensated in costs.
L9	I understand the respondent=s
20	frustration at trying to get this matter into
21	court. There has been a considerable volume of
22	correspondence exchanged to that end. It might
23	have been more effective to have a bit less
24	correspondence and a considerably earlier motion.
25	So far as I can make out the

1	Order of Mr. Justice Archambault was made on a
2	status hearing on the initiative of the Court
3	rather than either of the parties.
4	If I understand correctly, the
5	appellant has at this point delivered a list of
6	documents. There was some suggestion, at least in
7	argument this morning, that perhaps that list of
8	documents is not complete.
9	All in all, I don=t think in those
10	circumstances that it would be appropriate to
11	dismiss this action at this point without the
12	appellant having the benefit of a trial, if he
13	truly wants a trial. Whether he wants a trial is
14	something that can only be found out by fixing a
15	trial date; I propose to do that.
16	There are lots of dates available
17	during July and August. Mr. Martin, what is the
18	appellant=s availability?
19	MR. MARTIN: The appellant is
20	available; the best dates would be the last week of
21	July or the last week of August.
22	JUSTICE BOWIE: Mr. LeBlanc?
23	MR. LEBLANC: The last week of
24	August would do.
25	JUSTICE ROWIE: The last week of

1	August?
2	MR. LEBLANC: Yes.
3	JUSTICE BOWIE: A little earlier,
4	you wanted the earliest possible date.
5	MR. LEBLANC: I had the choice in
6	being at my cottage in the last week of July or
7	spending it with Mr. Galati. I think I prefer the
8	last week of August. I have been waiting so many
9	years.
10	JUSTICE BOWIE: As long as both
11	sides are happy with the last week of August, so be
12	it.
13	How long is it going to take?
14	Mr. Martin, how long is the appellant going to need
15	to put in his case?
16	MR. MARTIN: Unfortunately, I
17	haven=t actually addressed that issue with Mr.
18	Galati himself. I can only go on the basis of what
19	I see before me. I am really hesitant to even give
20	you a time estimation. I think my friend would
21	perhaps
22	JUSTICE BOWIE: Mr. LeBlanc, yes?
23	MR. LEBLANC: Yes. Since we only
24	have two or three properties to deal with, but
25	JUSTICE BOWIE: We have a whole

1	week of August 27.
2	MR. LEBLANC: I believe that a day
3	might it depends on how many witnesses they are
4	going to call. And if Mr. Luc Galati is the only
5	witness, it seems that it is going to be, likely
6	going to be a very short testimony, depending on
7	his condition that day.
8	But a day might be short. Maybe
9	we can go safely with two days. If that is too
10	long, they can advise.
11	MR. MARTIN: We can advise, yes.
12	JUSTICE BOWIE: You probably don=t
13	want to start on a Monday, given the time of year.
14	MR. LEBLANC: You are correct.
15	JUSTICE BOWIE: I am going to
16	suggest Tuesday, August 28. I am going to ask you
17	both to indicate to the trial coordinator within a
18	couple of weeks, let=s say by the end of next week,
19	what your best estimate is. I think Mr. Martin, as
20	the appellant, you are the one from whom that
21	estimate is most important.
22	MR. MARTIN: Yes, certainly.
23	JUSTICE BOWIE: If you would
24	convey that to the trial coordinator and to Mr.
25	LeBlanc, Mr. LeBlanc will then convey to the trial

1	coordinator and to you what his estimate is of the
2	time to put in his case.
3	Alternatively, the two of you
4	could get together and agree on a length of time
5	and then jointly tell the trial coordinator what
6	you have settled on.
7	MR. MARTIN: Certainly.
8	JUSTICE BOWIE: I leave it up to
9	the two of you to do it, whichever of those ways
10	you like. But the whole week of August 27 is
11	available. If you decide you want a whole week,
12	then do it quickly and we will give you that whole
13	week. Otherwise, you can have all of the four
14	remaining days or so much of it as you need. I
15	think that is the best we can do. If you let us
16	know within a couple of weeks that it is less than
17	four days, we will be able to fill the rest of that
18	time with something else.
19	MR. LEBLANC: Thank you, very
20	much.
21	JUSTICE BOWIE: If either party
22	wants to have an examination for discovery, they
23	can have it by the end of May the end of May or
24	not at all. If either party has a discovery and
25	there are undertakings resulting from it, they are

1	to be fulfilled by the end of June. If there are
2	to be any supplementary lists of documents, they
3	are to be filed at least a week before any
4	scheduled examination for discovery or they are
5	going to require leave of the Court to file them.
6	In other words, Mr. Martin, if
7	there is a discovery scheduled to be held in the
8	middle of May, you can file a supplementary list
9	but not later than seven days before that
LO	examination for discovery is scheduled to be held.
11	It is always possible that something pops out on
L2	either side at the last minute, and a supplementary
13	list seems to be a desirable thing to file. But if
14	it is after that deadline of a week before any
L5	scheduled discovery, then it is going to require
L6	leave of a judge and the judge is going to be me
L7	because I am going to become the case management
L8	judge in respect of this matter. Any order
L9	granting leave to file a supplementary list beyond
20	that date would require very convincing evidence as
21	to why that document or the documents on that list
22	had not been included in an earlier list.
23	MR. LEBLANC: Justice, considering
24	that the seven days prior to discovery will be
25	short in terms of notice, can we include in the

1	order that the respondent be provided as well with
2	a copy of the additional documents listed? That
3	should be for either party, additional documents
4	not filing with the Court.
5	JUSTICE BOWIE: You mean copies of
6	the document, along with the list?
7	MR. LEBLANC: Yes. Because the
8	list may not be very helpful.
9	JUSTICE BOWIE: Yes. Any
10	supplementary list, if it is to be filed, is to be
11	accompanied by copies of the documents on the list.
12	MR. LEBLANC: For serving
13	purposes, certainly not for filing, but for
14	serving purposes.
15	JUSTICE BOWIE: For serving
16	purposes, yes, not filing.
17	MR. LEBLANC: Thank you.
18	JUSTICE BOWIE: If either party
19	wants to have a case management conference at any
20	point in time then they can apply to the trial
21	coordinator to arrange for a telephone conference.
22	I think we should have a telephone
23	conference in any event. During the summer is a
24	difficult time to do it, perhaps. Is a telephone
25	conference in the last week of July something that

1	could be easily arranged, or the first week of
2	August?
3	MR. LEBLANC: Or the third week of
4	July would be preferred.
5	JUSTICE BOWIE: The third week of
6	July. Mr. Martin?
7	MR. MARTIN: I am sure that would
8	be fine.
9	JUSTICE BOWIE: All right. I
10	think we should do that. In an ideal world, which
11	this seldom is, it will take about three minutes
12	because you will both tell me that everything is on
13	track for trial at the end of August. I think I
14	would take some comfort from hearing that.
15	Mr. Martin, you are going to be on
16	the record for the appellant?
17	MR. MARTIN: I am not sure if I
18	am at this stage. I haven=t discussed the scope of
19	my retainer with Mr. Galati.
20	JUSTICE BOWIE: All right. I have
21	a feeling that the Rules provide that an appearance
22	automatically gets you on the record, unless the
23	appearance is specifically for a limited purpose,
24	or something of the kind. It is not a rule I have
25	read lately

1	MR. MARTIN: Yes.
2	JUSTICE BOWIE: I simply caution
3	you that you might want to look at that rule and
4	see whether, if you are not going to be on the
5	record henceforth, you may have to so indicate to
6	the Court in writing or something of the kind.
7	MR. MARTIN: Yes. Thank you.
8	JUSTICE BOWIE: Or you may find
9	that you are solicitor of record by operation of
10	the rule.
11	MR. MARTIN: Yes.
12	JUSTICE BOWIE: Anything else that
13	either of you would like to include in the order?
14	There is the matter of costs, of course.
15	MR. LEBLANC: Yes. We would like
16	the matter of costs to be payable forthwith, to the
17	respondent.
18	JUSTICE BOWIE: Yes.
19	MR. MARTIN: Justice, I was
20	mentioning in my submissions at the end there,
21	although there were lots of reasons for my friend=s
22	motion, as I said, perhaps if it had been brought
23	before January of this year, I think that as a
24	result of what happened in January, it was
25	inappropriate for my friend to bring this motion.

1	I don=t think is a time when costs would be
2	appropriate.
3	In the circumstances of the
4	history, I am not asking for costs for today=s
5	appearance. But I also don=t think that my friend
6	should be entitled to them because this isn=t the
7	appropriate time for him to have brought this
8	motion.
9	JUSTICE BOWIE: I know your
10	position with respect to costs. Given the totality
11	of the history of this matter, I think it is
12	appropriate to make an order as to costs. I think
13	a thousand dollars payable forthwith for the two
14	motions that were before us this morning is
15	appropriate.
16	The cases I think indicate pretty
17	clearly that if the costs are in any event of the
18	cause, as they should be in this case, that they
19	should be payable forthwith. I am going to make
20	that by May 7.
21	If there is nothing else,
22	gentlemen?
23	MR. LEBLANC: No, we thank you.
24	JUSTICE BOWIE: We will take five
25	minutes and then hear the next motion.

1	MR. LEBLANC: Thank you, sir.
2	MR. MARTIN: Thank you.
3	THE REGISTRAR: The Court is in
4	recess for five minutes.
5	Whereupon the hearing was concluded at 11:30
6	a.m. on Tuesday, April 24, 2007.

